

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/511,830 02/23/2000 Donald D. Holbrook W-3875 3393 EXAMINER 7590 08/11/2004 Rodney K Worrel HOEY, BETSEY MORRISON Worrel & Worrel ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER St Croix Professional Center 2109 W Bullard Avenue Suite 121 1724 Fresno, CA 93711-1258

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | T | - HV |
|---|--|---|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| Office Action Summary | 09/511,830 | HOLBROOK, DONALD D. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | HOEY, BETSEY | 1724 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 M | av 2004 | |
| | action is non-final. | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 3,4 and 7-15 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 3,4 and 7-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | wn from consideration. | - |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 13 January 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | |
| Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |

Art Unit: 1724

Application/Control Number: 09/511,830

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 3, 4 and 7-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 3, "to achieve a predetermined objective" is considered vague and indefinite because one of ordinary skill in the art would not know how to carry out the method; it is unclear what the method actually does. Regarding claim 7, a broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. In the present instance, claim 7 recites the broad recitation "the group consisting of calcium thiosulfate, potassium thiosulfate, sodium thiosulfate, and ammonium thiosulfate", and the claim also recites "calcium thiosulfate" which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation. Claims 4 and 8-15 are rejected because the depend on rejected claim(s).
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hagen et al.

See previous Office Action.

Art Unit: 1724

5. Claims 8-15 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 8-15 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph (i.e. by including a positive step of quenching ozone from water), in light of the declaration submitted September 9, 2003, because the prior art of record fails to teach, disclose, or fairly suggest a method for quenching ozone from water comprising the steps of applying calcium thiosulfate to the water containing ozone.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Betsey Hoey whose telephone number is **(571) 272-1158**. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays, Tuesdays, and Thursdays from 7:30-1:00 AM. The examiner's supervisor, Mr. Duane Smith, may be reached at (571) 272-1166. Any inquiry of general nature may be directed to the Group receptionist at (571) 272-0987. The centralized fax number for the Group is (703) 872-9306. The examiner Rightfax number is (571) 273-1158.

PRIMARY EXAMINER
August 9, 2004